

Peer Group Comparison - Anaesthesia Indicators Version 5



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where All organisations are stratified into public / private categories and surgical complexity as defined by number of ICU beds during the 6 month data collection period.: Free Standing Day

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 36

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014
3.1 Avoiding severe respiratory distress in the recovery room. (L)	0	995	0.00%	(0.00-0.36)	0	0	23	0.01%
3.4 Manage severe pain not responding to the post anaesthesia recovery room pain protocol. (L)	0	995	0.00%	(0.00-0.36)	1	-1	24	0.10%
4.1 Unplanned patient admission to an intensive care unit within 24 hours of a procedure. (L)	0	995	0.00%	(0.00-0.36)	0	0	19	0.01%

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, \bar{x} - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manuals

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

Lines in italic/greyed highlight results that require attention. These results demonstrate where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the results are undesirably lower or higher than the expected rate.

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval. This indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator.

Peer Group Comparison - Day Patient Indicators Version 5



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Type of service: Free-standing - stands alone and purpose built

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 85

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014
1.1 Booked patients assessed before admission (H)	1101	1101	100.00%	(99.68-100.00)	1056	45	38	95.89%
2.1 Booked patients who fail to arrive (L)	0	1101	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	5	-5	69	0.44%
3.1 Cancellation of the procedure after arrival due to pre-existing medical condition (L)	1	1101	0.09%	(0.00-0.32)	1	0	82	0.09%
3.2 Cancellation of the procedure after arrival due to an acute medical condition (L)	2	1101	0.18%	(0.00-0.51)	1	1	80	0.11%
3.3 Cancellation of procedure after arrival due to administrative/organisational reasons (L)	0	1101	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	2	-2	81	0.14%
4.1 Patients who experience an adverse event during care delivery (L)	7	1098	0.64%	(0.02-1.25)	2	5	44	0.17%
5.1 Unplanned return to operating room on same day as initial procedure (L)	0	1098	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	0	0	68	0.03%

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, \bar{x} - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manuals

Page 2

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

3-Oct-14 04:13

Lines in italic/greyed highlight results that require attention. These results demonstrate where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the results are undesirably lower or higher than the expected rate.

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval. This indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator.

Peer Group Comparison - Day Patient Indicators Version 5



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Type of service: Free-standing - stands alone and purpose built

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 85

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014
6.1 Unplanned transfer or overnight admission related to procedure (L)	0	1098	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	1	-1	72	0.13%
6.2 Unplanned transfer or admission related to ongoing management (L)	0	1098	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	0	0	48	0.02%
7.1 Unplanned delayed discharge for clinical reasons >1 hour beyond expected (L)	1	1098	0.09%	(0.00-0.33)	1	0	59	0.11%
7.2 Unplanned delayed discharge for non-clinical reasons >1 hour beyond expected (L)	1	1098	0.09%	(0.00-0.33)	1	0	48	0.10%
8.1 <i>Departure without an escort (L)</i>	40	1098	3.64%	(2.19-5.10)	15	25	36	1.39%
9.2 Follow-up phone call received by patient or carer within 7 days (H)	1098	1098	100.00%	(99.68-100.00)	898	200	26	81.76%

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, \bar{x} - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manuals

Page 3

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

3-Oct-14 04:13

Lines in italic/greyed highlight results that require attention. These results demonstrate where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the results are undesirably lower or higher than the expected rate.

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval. This indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator.

Peer Group Comparison - Infection Control Indicators Version 3.



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Total number of beds at this site, location or campus: 1-49 beds

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 46

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014	
6.1	Reported parenteral exposures sustained by staff (L)	0	1098	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	0	0	41	0.03%
6.2	Reported non-parenteral exposures sustained by staff (L)	0	1098	0.00%	(0.00-0.32)	0	0	40	0.01%

Peer Group Comparison - Ophthalmology Indicators Version 5



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Total number of ophthalmology separations in this 6 month data collection period: >=300 separations

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 40

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014	
1.1	Cataract surgery - readmission within 28 days (L)	2	836	0.24%	(0.00-0.67)	1	1	27	0.13%

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, \bar{x} - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manuals

Page 4

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

3-Oct-14 04:13

Lines in italic/greyed highlight results that require attention. These results demonstrate where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the results are undesirably lower or higher than the expected rate.

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval. This indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator.

Peer Group Comparison - Ophthalmology Indicators Version 5



ACHS Organisation Code: 120050 First Half 2014

Australasia

Private Facility

Peer Group : Comparison of your results with all organisations in selected category submitting data where Total number of ophthalmology separations in this 6 month data collection period: >=300 separations

Total number of organisations for the selected category submitting data for this set for First Half 2014: 40

Indicator Number/Description	Your Numerator	Your Denominator	Your Rate	99% Confidence Interval for Your Rate	Your Expected Number of Events	Your observed minus expected (excess events)	Number of orgs submitting data 1H2014	Aggregate Rate for these organisations 1H2014
1.2 Cataract surgery - readmission within 28 days due to endophthalmitis (L)	0	836	0.00%	(0.00-0.43)	0	0	28	0.02%
1.3 Cataract surgery - unplanned overnight admission (L)	0	836	0.00%	(0.00-0.43)	1	-1	28	0.08%
1.4 Cataract surgery - anterior vitrectomy (L)	5	836	0.60%	(0.00-1.28)	3	2	33	0.36%
2.1 Intraocular glaucoma surgery - readmission within 28 days (L)	0	18	0.00%	(0.00-18.79)	0	0	14	1.16%
2.2 Intraocular glaucoma surgery - readmission within 28 days due to endophthalmitis (L)	0	18	0.00%	(0.00-18.79)	0	0	12	0.00%
4.1 Intraocular lens implantation with planning record present at time of surgery (H)	836	836	100.00%	(99.57-100.00)	836	0	6	100.00%
4.2 Toric lens implantation (N)	278	836	33.25%	(29.07-37.44)	253	25	6	30.22%
4.3 Toric intraocular lens implantation with planning record present at time of surgery (H)	277	278	99.64%	(98.72-100.00)	278	-1	6	99.93%

(L) - a low rate is desirable, (H) - a high rate is desirable, (N) - desirable rate is unspecified

% - per 100, * - per 1,000, ^ - per 10,000, \bar{x} - mean, M - median

- Please note: data for this indicator were NOT collected in accordance with the CI User Manuals

Page 5

The information in this report is to provide assistance to organisations in continuous quality improvement. Responsibility for the accuracy of submitted data lies with the contributing organisation. The ACHS makes every effort to validate the information it receives prior to analysing and publishing results.

3-Oct-14 04:13

Lines in italic/greyed highlight results that require attention. These results demonstrate where an organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator and where the results are undesirably lower or higher than the expected rate.

Lines in bold highlight results where the aggregate rate is outside the 99% confidence interval. This indicates the organisation is statistically significantly 'different' to all other organisations submitting data for that particular indicator.